
Work Package 3: Common Dataset Work Package 4: Data Dictionary







Scott Cunningham, Technical Consultant University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee

The DARTS / MEMO Collaboration

Introduction

- Progress to Date
- WP3 Methodology
- BIRO Common Dataset
- Data Definitions
- XML Data Structures

Progress to Date

- Dataset Comparison
- Candidate Data Items Identified
- Scottish and FQSD Definitions compared
- Work Package 3 Report Submitted
- Data Set Translated to XML Representation
 - BIRO Clinical Definitions
 - First Clinical Site Profile and Extract Created

Methodology

- Dataset comparison
 - DiabCare
 - Scottish Diabetes Core Dataset
 - Umbria AMD Data File
 - EUCID (validation)
- Clinical Review (WP2) Candidate Indicators

Validity

- Data items assigned "validity" rating after comparison across datasets
 - "High" Validity: High Validity items are those which are consistent across all analysed datasets
 - "Medium" Validity: Inconsistencies in 1 dataset during comparison
 - "Low" Validity: Inconsistencies across >1 datasets

Dataset and Definitions

- Items for Clarification:
 - Self Monitoring: number of events required?
 - Referable Retinopathy: proliferative / nonproliferative
- WP3 Common Dataset v1 3.doc

XML Data Dictionary

- BIRO Data definitions
 - "ECClinicalDefinitions"
 - Clinical items
 - Map to indicators
 - Algorithms
- Clinical site profiles
 - "ECDataSourceExtractDefinition"
 - Required from all partners
- Data extract structures
 - "ECDataExtractDefinition"
 - Define how to map all datasets to final BIRO format



Group B							
Teams	Pld	W	D	L	GF	GA	Pts
Scotland	5	4	0	1	11	4	12
France	5	4	0	1	12	2	12
Ukraine	4	3	0	1	7	4	9
Italy	4	2	1	1	7	5	7
Lithuania	4	1	1	2	3	4	4
Georgia	5	1	0	4	10	11	3
Faroe Islands	5	0	0	5	0	20	0

Summary

- WP3: Common dataset report completed
- WP4: XML Data Dictionary structure created
 - To be circulated for review